Laboratory diagnosis of von Willebrand disease
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SUMMARY

Von Willebrand disease (VWD) is considered the most common inherited bleeding disorder and may also be the most difficult to diagnose. Clinical symptoms of VWD include predominantly mild mucosal bleeding; surgical bleeding may occur with specific challenges and joint bleeding can occur in the most severe forms. A family history either of diagnosed VWD or of bleeding symptoms is typically present. Laboratory diagnosis requires a series of assays of von Willebrand factor (VWF) quantity and function, and factor VIII activity, with no single straightforward diagnostic test available to either confirm or exclude the diagnosis. Newer assays of VWF function are becoming more available and useful in determining the laboratory diagnosis of VWD.

VON WILLEBRAND DISEASE

Von Willebrand disease (VWD) is a congenital bleeding disorder caused by a deficiency of von Willebrand factor (VWF) and typically characterized by mild mucosal bleeding. VWF plays several key roles in hemostasis, one of which is to link platelets to sites of vessel injury through binding sites for collagen and platelet glycoprotein Ibα (GPIbα). VWF binds platelet GPIbα through the VWF A1 domain and binds vascular collagens via the A1 and A3 domains [1, 2]. Another role is to protect circulating factor VIII (FVIII), through VWF-FVIII binding in the VWF D’D3 domain [3].

Patients with VWD generally present with mucosal bleeding, including epistaxis, easy bruising, menorrhagia, gingival bleeding, GI bleeding, surgical bleeding (particularly involving mucosal surfaces such as tonsillectomy or

wisdom tooth extraction), and in rare cases joint bleeding. As VWD is inherited, most patients have a family history of bleeding symptoms, although symptoms may vary among affected family members.

VWD may be caused by either a quantitative or a qualitative defect in VWF. The quantitative VWF defects are divided clinically into mild–moderate defects, known as type 1 VWD, and severe defects with undetectable VWF, known as type 3 VWD. The qualitative variants are known as type 2 VWD and divided further based upon the specific defect in VWF present. Current classification of the type 2 variants separates these into four groups [4]. Type 2A variants lack the high molecular weight multimer forms of VWF. Type 2B variants also lack high molecular weight multimers, secondary to gain-of-function defects in VWF causing spontaneous binding to platelet GPIb and subsequent clearance of VWF–platelet complexes. A similar gain-of-function defect in platelet GPIb causes platelet-type VWD. Type 2M includes those variants with a defect in platelet binding, but preserved multimer distribution, and also includes collagen-binding defects. Type 2N variants have a defect in FVIII binding.

Initial evaluation for VWD requires a combination of screening tests, as no single test can confirm the presence of fully functional VWF. Along with assessment of VWF protein presence, routine screening tests include assessment of VWF–platelet and VWF–FVIII interactions. VWF testing is detailed in Table 1. An algorithm for VWD diagnosis is presented in Figure 1.

### VWD SCREENING TESTS

#### VWF antigen (VWF:Ag)

VWF:Ag is a quantitative assessment of the plasma VWF protein level. VWF:Ag can be performed by ELISA or automated latex immunotassay (LIA) [5]. VWF:Ag is generally very reliable and reproducible, but is limited as it only assesses VWF presence, and does not assess VWF function. Therefore, VWD screening requires additional tests of VWF function to identify VWF protein dysfunctions.

#### VWF ristocetin cofactor activity (VWF:RCo)

VWF:RCo is the most commonly used test to assess the ability of VWF to bind GPIb. The VWF:RCo assay is widely used, but is limited by high coefficient of variation [6]. In addition, VWF sequence variations in the A1 domain may also affect the VWF:RCo without altering VWF function [7]. New assays that can directly evaluate VWF–GPIb interactions, which are ristocetin independent, have been developed but none are commercially available in the US at this time [8, 9]. A reliable assay of VWF–platelet interactions could conceivably replace the VWF:RCo and may
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| **VWF:Ag = VWF antigen; VWF:RCo = VWF ristocetin cofactor activity; FVIII:C = factor 8 activity; VWF:CB = VWF collagen binding; VWF:PB = VWF–platelet binding; LD-RIPA = low-dose ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation; VWFpp = VWF propeptide; ↓ = mildly decreased; ↓↓ = moderately decreased; ↓↓↓ = greatly decreased.** |

eliminate the need for VWF:Ag in initial screening for VWD; however, this would still miss type 2M collagen-binding defects.

**Factor VIII activity (FVIII:C)**

FVIII:C measurement is included in initial workup because VWF is a carrier protein for FVIII. Under normal physiologic conditions, FVIII:C/VWF:Ag ratio is approximately 1. In type 2N, this ratio will be decreased, and in type 3 VWD, FVIII:C will be <10 IU/dL. As type 2N VWD has a specific defect in FVIII binding and may present with normal VWF:Ag and VWF:RCo, assessment of FVIII:C is crucial in the initial workup for suspected VWD.

**VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag**

Assessment of the VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio is helpful in triggering the need to perform further testing for type 2A, type 2B, or type 2M VWD, all of which generally have VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio <0.6 [10]. Confirmatory testing, however, is needed to distinguish between the type 2 variants.
**VWD CONFIRMATORY TESTS**

**VWF multimer distribution**

A decreased VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio should trigger evaluation of VWF multimer distribution, as a normal multimer distribution would lead to a diagnosis of type 2M and a loss of high molecular weight multimers would signal the presence of either type 2A or type 2B VWD. Multimer assessment is generally performed using agarose gel electrophoresis [11] but is time consuming and not performed by all laboratories.

**VWF collagen binding (VWF:CB)**

Collagen binding plays two roles in VWF testing. It can serve as a substitute for detection of high molecular weight multimers, although there is some debate regarding the most ideal collagen preparation [12]. In addition, some VWD variants have a specific collagen-binding defect and are classified as type 2M VWD. There have been several case reports of patients with borderline low VWF:Ag and VWF:RCo but significant decreases in type I and/or type III collagen binding [13, 14]. Recently, VWF defects in binding type VI collagen have been reported [15].

**VWF–platelet binding (VWF:PB)**

Platelet binding is used to confirm type 2B VWD, where an increase in spontaneous binding to platelets is demonstrated. This assay utilizes commercial platelets and patient plasma, and will demonstrate increased binding in type 2B but no increase in type 2A VWD. If not available, another option is low-dose ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation.

**Low-dose ristocetin-induced platelet aggregation (LD-RIPA)**

Spontaneous aggregation to low-dose ristocetin (typically ≤0.6 mg/mL, in contrast to the usual dose of ≥1 mg/mL) is seen in type 2B VWD and platelet-type VWD. To differentiate between the VWD variants, VWF:PB or genetic analysis is required. Detection of type 2B VWD is important as desmopressin is typically avoided in these patients and type 2A and type 2B VWD otherwise have similar patterns of decreased VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio and absent high molecular weight multimers. Detection of platelet-type VWD is important as treatment requires platelet transfusions.

**VWF-FVIII binding (VWF:FVIIIb)**

VWF:FVIIIb examines the ability of VWF isolated from patient plasma to bind recombinant FVIII and is used to confirm a diagnosis of type 2N VWD, where a specific defect in FVIII binding exists [16].

**VWF propeptide (VWFpp)**

VWFpp/VWF:Ag ratios are elevated in type 1C, or clearance defects, and in some type 2 VWD variants. VWFpp is typically tested via ELISA [17], although may not be available in all laboratories. Evaluation of response to desmopressin can also identify clearance defects, in that an increase in VWF:Ag may be seen at 1 h, but VWF levels fall back to baseline by 4 h following desmopressin administration.

**VWF gene sequencing**

Use of genetic diagnosis is limited in VWF due to the large size of the VWF gene and high frequency of normal variants. Early reports of some sequence variations as mutations have been called into question by the high frequency of these ‘mutations’ found in healthy individuals [18]. DNA sequencing may be useful in type 2 VWD, particularly when confirmatory laboratory tests are unavailable or difficult to interpret. A large number of known sequence variations have been reported for type 2 VWD (collected online by the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis).

Sequence variations in type 2 VWD localize to the defective region of VWF. In type 2B and type 2M, where the defect involves platelet binding, mutations are found in the VWF A1 domain. In type 2N, where the defect involves FVIII binding, mutations are found in the VWF D1’ and D3 domains. In type 2A, defects can either be in the A2 domain (near the site of ADAMTS13 cleavage) or in the N or C terminal multimerization domains [19]. Defects in types 1 and 3 VWD are found throughout the VWF gene, but novel sequence variations should be examined with caution, as not all variants are associated with VWD.

LABORATORY CLASSIFICATION OF VWD

Type 1 VWD

Laboratory characteristics of type 1 VWD include a mild to moderate but proportional decrease in both VWF:Ag and VWF:RCo. FVIII:C may be normal or borderline low. Bleeding symptoms range from mild mucosal bleeding to more severe surgical hemorrhage. Bleeding and penetrance in type 1 VWD is variable. Other influences on VWF levels exist; blood type, ethnicity, and modifier genes have all been implicated [20–22]. Exercise and stress can also elevate VWF levels, and careful consideration should be given to repeat testing in symptomatic patients with borderline normal levels.

Diagnosis of type 1 VWD is made when the VWF:Ag and VWF:RCo are decreased, but in proportion to each other (VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio >0.6.) Additionally, if FVIII:C is lower than VWF:Ag, a diagnosis of type 2N VWD should be considered. A discrepancy between VWF:Ag and VWF:RCo, or a family history of a type 2 variant, should prompt evaluation of multimer distribution. VWFpp may be helpful in evaluation for potential clearance defects, or type 1C, but is not generally performed as part of a diagnostic workup. Genetic testing is not usually helpful, as not all patients with type 1 VWD have a sequence variation in VWF, and because mutation status is not currently predictive of either clinical symptoms or response to treatment. The only caveat would be in the case of clearance defects, as diagnosis of type 1C VWD would impact treatment by excluding use of desmopressin for affected patients.

The major dilemma in diagnosis of type 1 VWD is the precise cutoff for VWF:Ag and VWF:RCo below which a patient should be considered to have true VWD. There is a wide variation in normal VWF levels, with the lower limit of normal extending down to about 50% (exact cutoffs vary by laboratory). However, typical normal ranges exclude 5% of the population, such that 2.5% of the population would have levels below the lower limit of normal. As VWD is clearly not present in 2.5% of the population, low VWF levels alone do not confer a diagnosis of VWD. Some groups have argued for a cutoff of 30 IU/dL, while others have suggested 20 IU/dL or 40 IU/dL [10]. The incidence of sequence variations in VWF is clearly increased at lower VWF levels. However, the presence of symptoms is also critical. Some patients with VWF levels above a particular cutoff may experience enough symptoms that treatment is warranted, particularly for major surgeries. Therefore, personal and family history, with special attention to an individual patient’s response to prior hemostatic challenges, is required. Laboratory findings alone should not be used to make a diagnosis of type 1 VWD.

Type 2 VWD

Type 2 VWD variants are characterized by a disproportionate decrease in VWF activity, either in terms of platelet binding, FVIII binding, or collagen binding. Bleeding symptoms are generally more severe than those seen in type 1 VWD.

Type 2A VWD

The combination of decreased VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio and absent high molecular weight multimers can represent either type 2A or type 2B VWD. In type 2A VWD, there is no increase in VWF:PB or LD-RIPA, and patients do not present with thrombocytopenia. However, testing to rule out type 2B VWD is indicated, as desmopressin may be considered for...
treatment of type 2A patients but is generally not used in type 2B patients. Demonstration of a known type 2A sequence variation can also confirm the diagnosis, although novel sequence variants should be interpreted with caution.

**Type 2B VWD**

Type 2B VWD also presents with decreased VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio and loss of high molecular weight multimers. Diagnosis of a type 2B variant is confirmed by demonstration of increased platelet binding through either increased VWF:PB or increased LD-RIPA and must be discriminated from platelet-type VWD. Gene sequencing may also be helpful in demonstrating a known type 2B sequence variation. Thrombocytopenia is frequently present in type 2B VWD, but it is not a universal symptom [23].

**Type 2M VWD**

This category includes patients with decreased VWF:RCo/VWF:Ag ratio and normal multimer distribution. It may also include patients with abnormal VWF:CB but essentially normal VWF:RCo. There is no specific confirmatory test for type 2M VWD. Although gene sequencing may be helpful, novel sequence variations should be interpreted with caution.

**Type 2N VWD**

Patients with type 2N VWD present in two groups. One possibility is the inheritance of two alleles with defects in FVIII binding, making the patient homozygous or compound heterozygous for type 2N mutations. Some mutations are associated with very low FVIII (approximately 10 IU/dL), while others have a milder phenotype (FVIII approximately 20 IU/dL) [4]. Such patients may have normal VWF:Ag and VWF:RCo, but markedly diminished FVIII levels. Type 2N VWD may also present with one type 2N allele and one type 1 allele, in which case low VWF:Ag and VWF:RCo may also occur. Patients with an isolated decrease in FVIII should receive evaluation to exclude type 2N VWD, as this can easily be misdiagnosed as mild hemophilia A.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Laboratory evaluation of VWD requires careful correlation of test results and clinical history. While the quantitative VWF deficiencies are relatively easy to diagnose, the qualitative type 2 variants may pose more of a diagnostic challenge. Specific assays of VWF function are therefore required to clarify the type of VWD in order to facilitate optimal treatment of affected patients.
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